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Abstract

In recent years, with the fast increase of air traffic flow conflict has become enormous serious issue, so it is very important to study the mid-air impact. The intention of the paper is to establish the mathematical model for procedural approach separation. The degree which the separation is affected through all the factors has been come out. The proper range distance is set from which the pilot reports the ACT (Air Traffic Controller) from specific radio blind area, when flight flying over the VOR (Very high frequency Omni Range). The separation of flights has been decided manually by using the probability and mathematical model developed in SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). According to the relationship of all the factors i.e. Estimate time, Estimate distance, Estimate speed, Estimate level and weight of air craft the component of the mode have been decided and the mode have been build up. The mode of procedural approach separation evaluation have been tested and elongated. The aim of this research is to create the mathematical model equation for procedural approach control and it can be concludes that the mathematical model approach gives appropriate preferences to manage mid-air traffic effectively.
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I. INTRODUCTION

As Air transportation is a perplexing, intuitive arrangement of frameworks that comprises of vehicles, airplane terminals, airspace, and the general population who work there, all coordinated by interchanges, reconnaissance, and data subsystems. Its advancement has been set apart by incremental changes in innovation and working practices, and by emotional changes in societal and market requests upon it. Clog emerges at airplane terminals when the interest for access to air terminal offices surpasses the capacity of the air terminal to supply the level of get to coveted. Arrive transport get to issues aside, here are two primary territories where air terminal blockage can emerge: (1) in the traveler terminals (for instance at traditions obstruction or as far as access for air ship to terminal entryways); and (2) on the runway.

In a few locales the constrained accessibility as well as usage of framework has now prompted to difficult issues, outstandingly as flight deferrals, with overflow impacts around the world. Current ICAO estimates are for an expansion in the worldwide interest for air transport at a normal yearly development rate of 4.5 for each penny for the period 1997-2020, with airplane developments developing at a normal yearly development of 3.5 for each penny (see ANS Conf-WP/13 for more points of interest). This implies airplane terminals and air activity administration frameworks will be relied upon to oblige nearly a 2.7 overlay increment in traveler activity (to some degree more for cargo movement) and a multiplying of air ship developments by the year 2020. These estimates are predicated on the presumption that adequate framework foundation and limit will be accessible to handle the request.

A. Aviation digital data Service (ADDS)

1) Temperature: 33.0 °C
2) Dewpoint: 3.0 °C
3) Pressure : 29.91 inches Hg
4) Visibility: 3miles (5km)
5) Ceiling: at least 12000 feet from GL
6) Clouds: sky clear below 12000 feet from GL

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Procedural Approach

Procedural approach control is describe as a type of non-radar aviation authority led from the Tower to isolate arriving, leaving, and overflying airplane inside an assigned zone near airfield. Procedural approach is utilized as a part of territories with almost no radar scope, where traffic density is low.
The procedural approach controller may utilize a number of (non-reparation standards to achieve separation between aircraft) i.e. upended, longitudinal, lateral, geographical, or visual separation.

Non-radar partition is the tenets and directions utilized via Air Traffic Control offices to separate airplane when there is no radar accessible. There are three main types of sorts of division that air traffic controllers use to separate flying machine in a non-radar environment. These three strategies are lateral, longitudinal, and vertical.

Situations where the accurate picture of aircraft cannot be determined using radar picture, the air traffic controller relies completely on the visualization and pilot position to develop the mental image of the situation.

It becomes necessary to continuously deliver the instructions related to arrival and departure to the pilot to avoid congestion of traffic.

Procedural approach is less expensive compared to radar separation and as it being a manual process requires more time as compared to radar separation for analyzing and processing the execution.

B. Lateral Separation

The principal strategy for partition will discuss is horizontal division. Sidelong detachment is utilized when aviation routes meet onto each other at a solitary point, for example, a settle, navigational guide, or an airplane terminal. Whenever at least two airplanes are voyaging towards a similar point in the meantime they should be isolated either vertically or along the side. In this we will figure out how to isolate a flying machine along the side.

1) Types of Lateral Separation used to separate the aircraft are:
- Clear flying machine on various routes or courses whose widths or ensured airspace doesn’t cover.
- Clear airplane underneath 18,000 to continue to and report over or hold at various land areas decided outwardly or by reference to NAVAIDs
- Clear airplane to hold over various fixes whose holding design airspace zones don't cover each other or other airspace to be ensured.
- Clear withdrawing air ship to fly determined headings which separate by no less than 45 degrees.

C. Parameters Affecting Mid Air Congestion

- Ground delay program
- Airspace flow program
- Miles in trails
- Holding
- Flight traffic mapping
- Missed Approach
- Conditions of landing
- Weather or environmental condition
III. ANALYSIS

A. SPSS Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.919*</td>
<td>.845</td>
<td>.788</td>
<td>1.365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), LANDING SPEED (kmph), Weight of Aircraft, FLIGHT LEVEL, DISTANCE

ANOVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29.397</td>
<td>14.650</td>
<td>.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.946</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37.759</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: ESTIMATE TIME
b. Predictors: (Constant), LANDING SPEED (kmph), Weight of Aircraft, FLIGHT LEVEL, DISTANCE

Coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>943.021</td>
<td>17.341</td>
<td>54.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight of Aircraft</td>
<td>.273</td>
<td>.223</td>
<td>.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FLIGHT LEVEL</td>
<td>.163</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>1.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DISTANCE</td>
<td>-.042</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>-.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LANDING SPEED (kmph)</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: ESTIMATE TIME

R = .919*
R square = 0.845

B. Mathematical Expression by SPSS

ET = 943.021 + 0.273WT + 0.163FL - 0.042D + 0.013LS

Where,

ET = estimate time for proper sequence of flights

WT = weight of flights

FL = flight levels

D = distance

LS = landing speed

IV. LIMITATIONS

- This expression gives the most probable proper sequence up to the aircraft Boeing 747.
- The flight level should be minimum FL270 and maximum FL330.
- The aircrafts are flying at a normal condition.
- If any unwanted emergence generated, then that aircraft will get the first preference for landing. It also depends on the kind of emergence.
- All aircrafts are flying at an ideal condition.
- The landings of aircraft depend on the wind condition and direction.
- The vertical guidance and horizontal guidance of aircraft are not considered

V. CONCLUSION

Mid air traffic congestion leads to airways traffic to delay for arrival and departure at the airport, due to this the aircrafts cannot start or complete the journey on time, as waiting in midair in a queues to their turns for landing and other operations. The delay
of time occurs and consumption of fuel increases unnecessarily. This paper analyzes the mid air traffic congestion of flights and gives the mathematical expression and calculates the minimum estimate time to provide the proper landing sequence to the flights for proper management. This expression is very essential at the time of emergency i.e. disaster, war etc. It provides the proper estimate time to the aircraft for sudden landing easily and effectively.
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